## Teacher's evaluation by the students

Several techniques have been devised to observe and analyze teacher behavior and interaction analysis in the classroom. It is very important that teaching should be modified with the changing teaching learning environment. Teaching is an interaction process between teachers and taught. The classroom teaching activities and events can be measured objectivity by employing these techniques. The different techniques and models used in modifying the behavior of the teacher will ensure effective learning. Thus, it is very important to provide different techniques and models to use. Therefore, the teachers may be helped in their teaching behavior and thus enhance teaching learning process. One of the most important techniques used is teacher's evaluation by students through five-point rating scale method and it has been proved very effective to motivate the teachers teaching behavior. The data analysis reveals the effectiveness of teacher behavior which is given below.

## TABLE 1

| Total No. <br> of <br> Teachers | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total <br> marks | 3220 | 3272.5 | 2413.5 | 2722.5 | 3217 | 3125 | 3138 | 3230 | 2144.5 | 2059 | 2846.5 | 2697 |
| $\%$ | 32.52 | 33.05 | 24.37 | 27.77 | 23.49 | 31.56 | 31.69 | 32.62 | 21.66 | 20.79 | 28.75 | 27.24 |
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Figure 1: Shows the analysis of rating scales of all the teachers teaching behaviour evaluated by the 99 students.

From the above figure 1 it is inferred that Teacher 2 has been rated with $33 \%$ which is higher than all other teachers. Then Teacher 8 has been rated with $32.63 \%$ which is also higher than the other teachers respectively. Then Teacher 1 has been rated with $32.58 \%$.Teacher 5 has been rated with $32.17 \%$, Teacher 7 has been rated with $31.69 \%$ then comes Teacher 6 which has been rated with $31.55 \%$, Teacher 11 ( $28.75 \%$ ), Teacher 4 (27.77\%),Teacher 12 (27.24\%),Teacher 3 ( $24.14 \%$ ), Teacher $9(21.66 \%)$ and then last comes Teacher 10 ( $20.79 \%$ ).

TABLE -2

| No. of the <br> Teachers | Parameters | Marks | $\mathbf{\%}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Knowledge Base | 3730 | 37.67 |
| 2 | Communication Skills | 3483 | 35.18 |
| 3 | Sincerity | 3604 | 36.4 |
| 4 | Interest Generation | 3357.5 | 33.91 |
| 5 | Ability to integrate course mat. | 3398 | 34.32 |
| 6 | Ability to integrate content | 3357.5 | 33.91 |
| 7 | Accessibility of teacher | 3380.5 | 34.14 |
| 8 | Ability to design quizzes/ exam. | 3375 | 34.09 |
| 9 | Provision for feed back | 3326.5 | 33.6 |
| 10 | Overall rating | 3353.5 | 33.87 |
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Figure 2: The above data and graph shows the analysis of all the teachers teaching behaviour in ten parameters

Overall analysis of Teachers in different parameters through graph and table 2 reveals that all the teachers have better knowledge of the subject matter. They are very much sincere in their teaching. Teachers are dedicated to their duty and have better communication skill due to which students do not have problem to understand the content matter. Teachers have also the good ability to integrate content material. They are sincere in their teaching, lesson planning and give enough time to the students to solve their problem. Teachers provide appropriate feedback so that the students have better understanding of the subject matter. The students are helped in others area of life. The teachers have the ability to organize different quizzes and exams due to which the students are free to interact with others and grow in better relationship with the teachers and others. Overall rating reveals that the teachers have good knowledge, and good understanding power to handle the students in different situations effectively.

## Parameter Wise analysis of the teachers teaching behaviour

TEACHER 1


In the above figure Knowledge Base of Teacher- A is (36.86\%) which is higher than the other Parameters such as, Communication Skills (35.15\%), sincerity (34.74), Interest Generation ( $34.43 \%$ ), Ability to integrate course material (30.4\%), Ability to integrate course content ( $32.42 \%$ ), Accessibility to teacher ( $32.43 \%$ ), Ability to design quizzes/exam(33.43\%), Provision for feedback ( $35.05 \%$ ) and overall rating ( $34.74 \%$ ). This may be due to the fact that the TeacherA may be more knowledgeable and is master in course content. She may be having good communication skill to teach and interact with the students. She may be sincere in preparing her lesson well due to which the students have better understanding of the subject matter.

## TEACHER 2



In the above figure the knowledge Base of Teacher-2 is (37.77\%) which is higher than the other parameters such as Communication Skills (37.47\%), Sincerity (36.06\%), Interest Generation ( $32.42 \%$ ), Ability to integrate course material ( $36.96 \%$ ), Ability to integrate content ( $35.25 \%$ ), Accessibility of teacher ( $37.37 \%$ ), Ability to design quizzes/exam ( $35.25 \%$ ), Provision for feedback ( $35.85 \%$ ) and overall rating ( $37.67 \%$ ). This may be due to the fact that the Teacher- 2 may be having good knowledge in her subject, and good communicating power to express her ideas. She may be also giving more time to the students in making them understand the problem. She may be more sincere and may have the ability to integrate the course material integrate different contents due to which the students may having better understanding of the subject matter and have better academic achievement.
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## TEACHER 3



In the above figure Accessibility of Teacher-3 is (29.69\%) which is higher than the other parameters such as Knowledge Base (26.56\%), Communication Skills (27.07\%\%), Sincerity (29.49\%), Interest Generation (27.77\%), Ability to integrate course material (28.08\%), Ability to integrate content (26.87\%), Ability to design quizzes/exam(27.77\%), Provision for feedback ( $28.88 \%$ ) and overall rating ( $29.49 \%$ ). This may be due to the fact that the Teacher- 3 may be giving more time to the students in making them understand the problem. She may be more sincere and may have the ability to integrate the course material integrate different contents due to which the students may having better understanding of the subject matter and have better academic achievement.

## TEACHER 4



The above figure reveals that the Sincerity of Teacher- 4 is ( $32.42 \%$ ) which is higher than the other Parameters such as, Knowledge Base (30.1\%), Communication Skills (30\%), Interest Generation (28.98\%), Ability to integrate course material (28.68\%), Ability to integrate content (29.79\%), Accessibility to teacher ( $28.18 \%$ ), Ability to design quizzes/exam(31.21\%), Provision for feedback ( $29.49 \%$ ) and overall rating ( $29.89 \%$ ). This may be due to the fact that the Teacher4 may be more sincere in her teaching and interacting with the students. She may be sincere in preparing her lesson well due to which the students have better understanding of the subject matter.

TEACHER 5


The above figure reveals that the knowledge Base of Teacher-5 is ( $33.93 \%$ ) which is higher than the other parameters such as Communication Skills (33.43\%), Sincerity (31.81\%), Interest Generation (31.21\%), Ability to integrate course material (30.7\%), Ability to integrate content ( $32.32 \%$ ), Accessibility of teacher ( $32.12 \%$ ) ,Ability to design quizzes/exam (32.42\%), Provision for feedback ( $32.12 \%$ ) and overall rating ( $33.23 \%$ ). This may be due to the fact that the Teacher5 may be having good knowledge in her subject and broad minded to impart her knowledge. He may be also having good communication skill to express her ideas. She may be also giving more time to the students in making them understand the problem. She may be more sincere and may have the ability to integrate the course material integrate different contents due to which the students may having better understanding of the subject matter and have better academic achievement. Overall rating of teacher reveals that in all the parameters she is excellent.

## TEACHER 6



In the above figure the knowledge Base of Teacher-6 is ( $34.54 \%$ ) which is higher than the other parameters such as Communication Skills (32.72\%), Sincerity (30.3\%), Interest Generation (30.8\%), Ability to integrate course material (30.1\%), Ability to integrate content (29.89\%), Accessibility of teacher ( $31.21 \%$ ), Ability to design quizzes/exam (30\%), Provision for feedback ( $29.49 \%$ ) and overall rating ( $32.62 \%$ ). This may be due to the fact that the Teacher- 6 may be having good knowledge in her subject, and good communicating power to express her ideas. She may be also giving more time to the students in making them understand the problem. She may be more sincere and may have the ability to integrate the course material integrate different contents due to which the students may having better understanding of the subject matter and have better academic achievement.

TEACHER 7


The above figure reveals that the knowledge Base of Teacher-7 is ( $36.86 \%$ ) which is higher than the other parameters such as Communication Skills (35.15\%), Sincerity (33.74\%), Interest Generation (33.43\%), Ability to integrate course material (30.4\%), Ability to integrate content ( $32.42 \%$ ), Accessibility of teacher (32.42\%), Ability to design quizzes/exam (33.43\%), Provision for feedback ( $34.04 \%$ ) and overall rating ( $34.74 \%$ ). This may be due to the fact that the Teacher7 may be having good knowledge in her subject and broad minded to impart her knowledge. He may be also having good communication skill to express her ideas. She may be also giving more time to the students in making them understand the problem. She may be more sincere and may have the ability to integrate the course material integrate different contents due to which the students may having better understanding of the subject matter and have better academic achievement. Overall rating of teacher reveals that in all the parameters she is excellent.
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## TEACHER 8



In the above figure the knowledge Base of Teacher-8 is ( $32.62 \%$ ) which is higher than the other parameters such as Communication Skills (31.31\%), Sincerity (31.61\%), Interest Generation (26.76\%), Ability to integrate course material (30\%), Ability to integrate course content ( $28.98 \%$ ), Accessibility of teacher ( $32.02 \%$ ) ,Ability to design quizzes/exam (30.9\%), Provision for feedback ( $29.59 \%$ ) and overall rating ( $30.9 \%$ ). This may be due to the fact that the Teacher- 8 may be having good knowledge in her subject, and good communicating power to express her ideas. She may be also giving more time to the students in making them understand the problem. She may be more sincere and may have the ability to integrate the course material integrate different contents due to which the students may having better understanding of the subject matter and have better academic achievement.

## TEACHER 9



The above figure reveals that the accessibility of Teacher- 9 is ( $35.95 \%$ ) which is higher than the other Parameters such as, knowledge Base (34.8\%) Communication Skills (24.54\%), Sincerity (32.42\%), Interest Generation (30.7\%), Ability to integrate course material (31.71\%), Ability to integrate content (26.76\%), Ability to design quizzes/exam( $23.63 \%$ ), Provision for feedback ( $34.54 \%$ ) and overall rating ( $25.85 \%$ ). This may be due to the fact that the Teacher- 9 may have more knowledge about the subject matter. She may be having good communication skills and sincere in her teaching and interacting with students freely and due to which the students are free to interact with different course material and have better understanding of the content.
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## TEACHER 10



The above figure reveals that the accessibility of Teacher- 10 is ( $33.43 \%$ ) which is higher than the other Parameters such as, knowledge Base (30.1\%) Communication Skills (31.51\%), Sincerity ( $32.12 \%$ ), Interest Generation ( $28.87 \%$ ), Ability to integrate course material ( $27.87 \%$ ), Ability to integrate content ( $31.71 \%$ ), Ability to design quizzes/exam( $26.96 \%$ ), Provision for feedback $(27.07 \%)$ and overall rating ( $22.92 \%$ ). This may be due to the fact that the Teacher-10 may have more knowledge about the subject matter. She may be having good communication skills and sincere in her teaching and interacting with students freely and due to which the students are free to interact with different course material and have better understanding of the content.
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## TEACHER 11



It is inferred from the above figure provision for feed back of Teacher- 11 is ( $32.72 \%$ ) which is higher than the other parameters such as, knowledge Base(26.56\%),Communication Skills (32.62\%), Sincerity (\%), Interest Generation (31.1\%), Ability to integrate course material (30.4\%), Ability to integrate content (32.2\%), Accessibility to teacher (31.5\%), Ability to design quizzes/exam(30.3\%), Provision for feedback (29.7\%) and overall rating (32.9\%). This may be due to the fact that the Teacher-E may also be having better knowledge of the subject matter, communication skills and may have the ability to integrate course material and content. TeacherF may also be sincere in interacting with the students regularly and giving enough time to help the students in their needs due to which the students have better understanding of the subject matter may be getting better facilities and environment to express her ideas and knowledge to the students.

## Sr Shill

PRINCIPAL
URSULINE WOMEN'S TEACHERS
TRAINING COLLEGE
LOHARDAGA, JHARKHAND-835302

## TEACHER 12



It is inferred from the above figure that the ability to design quizzes/exam of Teacher- 12 is ( $32.72 \%$ ) which is higher than the other parameters such as, knowledge Base(23.83\%),Communication Skills (23.33\%), Sincerity ( $29.29 \%$ ), Interest Generation ( $25.95 \%$ ), Ability to integrate course material ( $24.74 \%$ ), Ability to integrate course content ( $31.51 \%$ ), Accessibility to teacher ( $31.5 \%$ ), Provision for feedback ( $24.74 \%$ ) and overall rating $(23.63 \%)$. This may be due to the fact that the Teacher-12 may also be having better knowledge of the subject matter, communication skills and may have the ability to integrate course material and content. Teacher-12 may also be sincere in interacting with the students regularly and giving enough time to help the students in their needs due to which the students have better understanding of the subject matter may be getting better facilities and environment to express her ideas and knowledge to the students. Having other general knowledge teacher may be having the ability to design quiz and different exams as well.

## Conclusion

Education certainly is a means to all-round progress of man. In other words, the pathway to human development goes through the lanes of education. Moreover, true education is the sole basis of achieving one's purpose in life. It is education which can determine ultimate goal for a human being. A teacher is a highly valued personality in a society. It is a qualitative teacher who brings qualitative changes in the life of students who could in turn becomes the effective instruments in building up the nation. The success of the students depends on the teacher. The way she teaches and interacts with the students, shape the life of the students. Adopting this evaluation technique teachers are being motivated to change their teaching behavior and strategies for the good academic achievement of the students.
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## Peer Teachers' teaching Observation

Several techniques have been devised to observed and analyze teacher behavior and interaction analysis in the classroom. It is very important that teaching should be modified with the changing teaching learning environment. Teaching is an interaction process between teachers and taught. The classroom teaching activities and events can be measured objectivity by employing these techniques. The different techniques and models used in modifying the behavior of the teacher will ensure effective learning. Thus it is very important to provide different techniques and models to use. Therefore the teachers may be helped in their teaching behavior and thus enhance teaching learning process. One of the most important techniques is used is teacher's evaluation by peer teachers' teaching observation through three point rating scale method and it has been proved very effective to motivate the teachers' teaching behavior. The data analysis and the graph reveal the effectiveness of teachers' teaching behavior which is given below.

| S. no | Parameters | T.1 | T.2 | T.3 | T.4 | T. 5 | T. 6 | T. 7 | T. 8 | T. 9 | T. 10 | T.11 | T.12 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. | Lesson Planning | 90 | 92 | 82 | 85 | 83 | 92 | 94 | 83 | 89 | 91 | 77 | 82 |
| 2. | Command and Content | 94 | 92 | 75 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 92 | 85 | 85 | 93 | 79 | 80 |
| 3. | Presentation of content | 121 | 138 | 127 | 123 | 133 | 130 | 137 | 129 | 133 | 128 | 115 | 125 |
| 4. | Teaching skills using in the <br> class room | 120 | 127 | 108 | 115 | 113 | 122 | 125 | 108 | 129 | 123 | 119 | 117 |
| 5. | Solving classroom <br> problems | 95 | 89 | 71 | 83 | 84 | 85 | 90 | 82 | 83 | 84 | 67 | 78 |
| 6. | Rapport with students- <br> teacher- taught relation. | 97 | 112 | 103 | 108 | 109 | 110 | 111 | 98 | 104 | 102 | 86 | 107 |
| 7. | Relation with others in the <br> college. | 109 | 107 | 105 | 104 | 110 | 107 | 108 | 110 | 115 | 103 | 88 | 103 |
| Total |  | $\mathbf{7 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{6 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{7 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{7 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{6 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{7 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 5 3}$ |



Figures 1. Shows the analysis of rating scales of peer teachers' teaching behaviors in all the parameters evaluated by the 9 peer teachers.

From the above figure it is inferred that Teacher 2 has been rated with 757 and Teacher 7 has also been rated with 757 which is higher than all other teachers. Then Teacher 9 has been rated with 734 which is also higher than the other teachers. Then Teacher 1 has been rated with 726, then Teacher 6 has been rated with 731, then Teacher 10 has been rated with 724, thereafter Teacher 5 has been rated with 718, thereafter Teacher 4 has been rated with 704, then Teacher 8 has been rated with 695, thereafter Teacher 3 has been rated with 671, then Teacher 12 has been rated with 653 and then the last one Teacher 11 has been rated with 631 .


Figure 2. shows the total percentage of the scores of Teacher 1-12 rated by the peer teachers in all the parameters.

The above graph shows the total scores and percentage of all the Peer Teachers' teaching Observation based in different parameters. The scores and percentage of every teacher depicts that Teacher 2 and Teacher 7 have $95 \%$ which is higher than the other teachers like Teacher 9 has $92 \%$, Teacher 1 and Teacher 6 have $91 \%$, Teacher 10 has $90 \%$, Teacher 4 has $88 \%$, Teacher 8 has $87 \%$, Teacher 3 has $84 \%$, Teacher 12 has $82 \%$ and Teacher 11 has $79 \%$.

## Parameters wise data analysis of peer Teachers' Teaching Observation

## Teacher 1

| S. no | Parameters | Teacher 1 | $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. | Lesson Planning | 90 | $11.25 \%$ |
| 2. | Command and Content | 94 | $11.75 \%$ |
| 3. | Presentation of content | 121 | $15.12 \%$ |
| 4. | Teaching skills using in the class room | 120 | $15 \%$ |
| 5. | Solving classroom problems | 95 | $11.87 \%$ |
| 6. | Rapport with students- teacher- taught relation. | 97 | $12.12 \%$ |
| 7. | Relation with others in the college. | 109 | $13.62 \%$ |
| Total |  | $\mathbf{7 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{9 1 \%}$ |



Figure 3. Parameters wise data analysis of Teacher 1
In the above Graph it is inferred that the percentage of the parameter ie. presentation of the content matter of Teacher 1 is ( $15.12 \%$ ) which is higher than the other Parameters such as Teaching skills using in the classroom(15\%), Relations with others in the college(13.62\%), Rapport with students- teachers relation(12.12\%), Classrooms problems(11.87\%) and lesson planning ( $11.25 \%$ ) This may be due to the fact that the Teacher 1 may have more knowledge about the subject matter.

She may be using different teaching skills in her teaching in the class room. She may be having good relationship with the other teachers in the college. She may be having good knowledge about lesson planning and may be having ability to present her content matter in a systematic way. good communication skills and sincere in her teaching and interacting with students freely and due to which the students are free to interact with different course material and have better understanding of the content. Teacher may be using different methods of teaching and techniques so that students are able to understand the subject matter well.

## Teacher 2

| S. no | Parameters | Teacher 2 | $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. | Lesson Planning | 92 | $11.5 \%$ |
| 2. | Command and Content | 92 | $11.5 \%$ |
| 3. | Presentation of content | 138 | 17.25 |
| 4. | Teaching skills using in the class room | 127 | $16 \%$ |
| 5. | Solving classroom problems | 89 | $11.12 \%$ |
| 6. | Rapport with students- teacher- taught relation. | 112 | $14 \%$ |
| 7. | Relation with others in the college. | 107 | $13.3 \%$ |
| Total |  | $\mathbf{7 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ |



Figure 4. Parameters wise data analysis of Teacher 2

In the above Graph it is inferred that the percentage of the parameter ie. presentation of content matter of Teacher 2 is ( $17.25 \%$ ) which is higher than the other Parameters such as Teaching skills using in the classroom ( $16 \%$ ), Relations with others in the college ( $13.30 \%$ ), Rapport with students- teachers relation (14\%), Classrooms problems (11.12\%) and lesson planning ( $11.50 \%$ ) and command and content ( $11.50 \%$ ) This may be due to the fact that the Teacher 2 may have more knowledge about the subject matter and clear in her expression. She may be using different teaching skills in her teaching in the class room. Teacher may be using different teaching aids while teaching. Teacher may be having regular evaluation of student's performance so that the students have better understanding of the content. She may be having good knowledge about lesson planning and she may be having ability to present her content matter in a systematic way. good communication skills and sincere in her teaching and interacting with students freely and due to which the students are free to interact with different course material and have better understanding of the content.

## Teacher 3

| S. no | Parameters | Teacher 3 | $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. | Lesson Planning | 82 | $10.25 \%$ |
| 2. | Command and Content | 75 | $9.37 \%$ |
| 3. | Presentation of content | 127 | $15.8 \%$ |
| 4. | Teaching skills using in the class room | 108 | $13.5 \%$ |
| 5. | Solving classroom problems | 71 | $8.87 \%$ |
| 6. | Rapport with students- teacher- taught relation. | 103 | $12.87 \%$ |
| 7. | Relation with others in the college. | 105 | $13.12 \%$ |
| Total |  | $\mathbf{6 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{8 4 \%}$ |
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Figure 5. Parameters wise data analysis of teacher 3
In the above Graph it is inferred that the percentage of parameter ie. presentation of content matter of Teacher 3 is ( $15.80 \%$ ) which is higher than the other Parameters such as Teaching skills using in the classroom(14\%), Relations with others in the college(13.12\%), Rapport with students- teachers relation(13\%), Classrooms problems( $8.87 \%$ ) and lesson planning $(10.25 \%)$ and command and content $(9.37 \%)$ This may be due to the fact that the Teacher 3 may be good in presenting her content matter in a better way and she may be have more knowledge about the subject matter and clear in her expression. She may be using different teaching skills in her teaching in the class room. Teacher may be using different teaching aids while teaching so that the students may have the better understanding of the subject mater.

## Teacher 4

| S. no | Parameters | Teacher 4 | $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. | Lesson Planning | 85 | $10.62 \%$ |
| 2. | Command and Content | 86 | $10.75 \%$ |
| 3. | Presentation of content | 123 | $15.37 \%$ |
| 4. | Teaching skills using in the class room | 115 | $14.37 \%$ |
| 5. | Solving classroom problems | 83 | $10.37 \%$ |
| 6. | Rapport with students- teacher- taught relation. | 108 | $13.5 \%$ |
| 7. | Relation with others in the college. | 104 | $13 \%$ |
| Total |  | $\mathbf{7 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{8 8 \%}$ |



Figure 6. Parameters wise data analysis of Teacher 4
In the above Graph it is inferred that the percentage of the parameter ie presentation of content matter of Teacher 4 is ( $15.37 \%$ ) which is higher than the other Parameters such as Teaching skills using in the classroom(14.37\%), Relations with others in the college(13\%), Rapport with students- teachers relation(13.50\%), Classrooms problems(10.37\%) and lesson planning ( $10.62 \%$ ) and command and content $(10.75 \%$ ) This may be due to the fact that the Teacher 4 may be good in presenting her content matter in a better and systematic way. She may be clear in explaining and illustrating the concept. She may be having good questioning skill. The teacher may be giving home work to the students, so that the students and teacher both know better about their level of understanding.

## Teacher 5

| S. no | Parameters | Teacher 5 | $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. | Lesson Planning | 83 | $10.37 \%$ |
| 2. | Command and Content | 86 | $10.75 \%$ |
| 3. | Presentation of content | 133 | $16.62 \%$ |
| 4. | Teaching skills using in the class room | 113 | $14.12 \%$ |
| 5. | Solving classroom problems | 84 | $10.5 \%$ |
| 6. | Rapport with students- teacher- taught relation. | 109 | $13.62 \%$ |
| 7. | Relation with others in the college. | 110 | $13.75 \%$ |
| Total |  | $\mathbf{7 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 0 \%}$ |
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Figure 7. Parameters wise data analysis of Teacher 5
In the above Graph it is inferred that the scores of the parameter ie. presentation of content matter of Teacher 5 is (133) which is higher than the other Parameters such as Teaching skills using in the classroom (113), Relations with others in the college (110), Rapport with students- teachers relation (109), Classrooms problems (84) and lesson planning (83) and command and content (86) This may be due to the fact that the Teacher 5 may be good in presenting her content matter in a better and systematic way. She may be clear in explaining and illustrating the concept. She may be having good questioning skill. The teacher may be giving home work to the students, so that the students and teacher both know better about their level of understanding.

## Teacher 6

| S. no | Parameters | Teacher 6 | $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. | Lesson Planning | 92 | $11.5 \%$ |
| 2. | Command and Content | 86 | $10.75 \%$ |
| 3. | Presentation of content | 130 | $16.25 \%$ |
| 4. | Teaching skills using in the class room | 122 | $15.25 \%$ |
| 5. | Solving classroom problems | 85 | $10.62 \%$ |
| 6. | Rapport with students- teacher- taught relation. | 110 | $13.75 \%$ |
| 7. | Relation with others in the college. | 107 | $13.37 \%$ |
| Total |  | $\mathbf{7 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{9 1 \%}$ |



Figure 8. Parameters wise data analysis of Teacher 6
In the above Graph it is inferred that the scores of the parameter ie. presentation of content matter of Teacher 6 is (130) which is higher than the other Parameters such as Teaching skills using in the classroom(122), Relations with others in the college(107), Rapport with studentsteachers relation(110), Classrooms problems(85) and lesson planning (92) and command and content(86). This may be due to the fact that the Teacher 6 may be good in presenting her content matter in a better and systematic way. She may be clear in explaining and illustrating the concept. She may be having good questioning skill. The teacher may be giving home work to the students, so that the students and teacher both know better about their level of understanding. Teacher may be giving extra time to the students to help to solve their educational as well as other problems so that there is good rapport established between teacher and students. And due to which the students are having good academic achievement.

## Teacher 7

| S. no | Parameters | Teacher 7 | $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. | Lesson Planning | 94 | $11.75 \%$ |
| 2. | Command and Content | 92 | $11.5 \%$ |
| 3. | Presentation of content | 137 | $17.12 \%$ |
| 4. | Teaching skills using in the class room | 125 | $15.62 \%$ |
| 5. | Solving classroom problems | 90 | $11.25 \%$ |
| 6. | Rapport with students- teacher- taught relation. | 111 | $13.87 \%$ |
| 7. | Relation with others in the college. | 108 | $13.5 \%$ |
| Total |  | $\mathbf{7 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ |



Figure 10. Parameters wise data analysis of Teacher 7
In the above Graph it is inferred that the percentage of the parameter ie. presentation of content matter of Teacher 7 is ( $17.12 \%$ ) which is higher than the other Parameters such as Teaching skills using in the classroom ( $15.62 \%$ ), Relations with others in the college ( $14 \%$ ), Rapport with students- teachers relation (13.87\%), Classrooms problems (11.25\%) lesson planning ( $11.75 \%$ ) and command and content $(11.50 \%)$. This may be due to the fact that the Teacher 7 may be good in presenting her content matter in a better and systematic way. She may be clear in explaining and illustrating the concept. She may be having good questioning skill. While teaching she may be using different teaching skills such as brain storming method, different teaching aids or discussion method team teaching etc. so that the students are able to understand the concept in a better way. The teacher may be having good relationship with the students and other teaching staff so that there is multidirectional learning for the teacher as well as for the students. The teacher may be giving home work to the students, so that the students and teacher both know better about their level of understanding. Teacher may be giving extra time to the students to help to solve their educational as well as other problems so that there is good rapport established between teacher and students. And due to which the students are having good academic achievement.

## Teacher 8

| S. no | Parameters | Teacher 8 | $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. | Lesson Planning | 83 | $10.37 \%$ |
| 2. | Command and Content | 85 | $10.62 \%$ |
| 3. | Presentation of content | 129 | $16.12 \%$ |
| 4. | Teaching skills using in the class room | 108 | $13.5 \%$ |
| 5. | Solving classroom problems | 82 | $10.25 \%$ |
| 6. | Rapport with students- teacher- taught relation. | 98 | $12.25 \%$ |
| 7. | Relation with others in the college. | 110 | $13.75 \%$ |
| Total |  | $\mathbf{6 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{8 7 \%}$ |



Figure 11. Parameters wise data analysis of Teacher 8
In the above Graph it is inferred that the percentage of the parameter ie. presentation of content matter of Teacher 8 is ( $16.12 \%$ ) which is higher than the other Parameters such as Teaching skills using in the classroom ( $13.50 \%$ ), Relations with others in the college ( $14 \%$ ), Rapport with students- teachers relation ( $12.25 \%$ ), Classrooms problems ( $10.25 \%$ ) lesson planning ( $10.37 \%$ ) and command and content (10.62\%).

This may be due to the fact that the Teacher 8 may be good in presenting her content matter in a better and systematic way. She may be clear in explaining and illustrating the concept. She may be having good questioning skill. The teacher may be giving home work to the students, so that the students and teacher both know better about their level of understanding. Teacher may be giving extra time to the students to help to solve their educational as well as other problems so that there is good rapport established between teacher and students. And due to which the students are having good academic achievement.

## Teacher 9

| S. no | Parameters | Teacher 9 | $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. | Lesson Planning | 89 | $11.12 \%$ |
| 2. | Command and Content | 85 | $10.62 \%$ |
| 3. | Presentation of content | 133 | $16.62 \%$ |
| 4. | Teaching skills using in the class room | 124 | $16.12 \%$ |
| 5. | Solving classroom problems | 83 | $10.37 \%$ |
| 6. | Rapport with students- teacher- taught relation. | 104 | $13 \%$ |
| 7. | Relation with others in the college. | 115 | $14.37 \%$ |
| Total |  | $\mathbf{7 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{9 2 \%}$ |



Figure 12. Parameters wise data analysis of Teacher 9

In the above Graph it is inferred that the scores of the parameter ie. presentation of content matter of Teacher 9 is (133) which is higher than the other Parameters such as Teaching skills using in the classroom(124), Relations with others in the college(115), Rapport with studentsteachers relation(104), Classrooms problems(83) and lesson planning (89) and command and content (85). This may be due to the fact that the Teacher 9 may have more knowledge about the subject matter. She may be using different teaching skills in her teaching in the class room. She may be having good relationship with the other teachers in the college. She may be having good knowledge about lesson planning and she may be having ability to present he content matter in a systematic way. good communication skills and sincere in her teaching and interacting with students freely and due to which the students are freer to interact with different course material and have better understanding of the content. Teacher may be using different methods of teaching and techniques so that students are able to understand the subject matter well.

## Teacher 10

| S. no | Parameters | Teacher 10 | $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. | Lesson Planning | 91 | $11.37 \%$ |
| 2. | Command and Content | 93 | $11.62 \%$ |
| 3. | Presentation of content | 128 | $16 \%$ |
| 4. | Teaching skills using in the class room | 123 | $15.37 \%$ |
| 5. | Solving classroom problems | 84 | $10.5 \%$ |
| 6. | Rapport with students- teacher- taught relation. | 102 | $12.75 \%$ |
| 7. | Relation with others in the college. | 103 | $12.87 \%$ |
| Total |  | $\mathbf{7 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{9 0 \%}$ |



Figure 13. Parameters wise data analysis of Teacher 10
In the above Graph it is inferred that the percentage of the parameter ie. presentation of content matter of Teacher 10 is ( $16 \%$ ) which is higher than the other Parameters such as Teaching skills using in the classroom ( $15.37 \%$ ), Relations with others in the college ( $13 \%$ ), Rapport with students- teachers relation (12.75\%), Classrooms problems ( $10.50 \%$ ) lesson planning ( $11.37 \%$ ) and command and content ( $11.62 \%$ ) This may be due to the fact that the Teacher 10 may be having more knowledge about the subject matter and clear in her expression. She may be using different teaching skills in her teaching in the class room. Teacher may be using different teaching aids while teaching. Teacher may be having regular evaluation of student's performance so that the students have better understanding of the content. She may be having good relationship with the other teachers in the college. She may be having good knowledge about lesson planning and she may be having ability to present her content matter in a systematic way. good communication skills and sincere in her teaching and interacting with students freely and due to which the students are freer to interact with different course material and have better understanding of the content. Teacher may be using different methods of teaching and techniques so that students are able to understand the subject matter well.

## Teacher 11

| S. no | Parameters | Teacher 11 | $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. | Lesson Planning | 77 | $9.62 \%$ |
| 2. | Command and Content | 79 | $9.87 \%$ |
| 3. | Presentation of content | 115 | $14.37 \%$ |
| 4. | Teaching skills using in the class room | 119 | $14.87 \%$ |
| 5. | Solving classroom problems | 67 | $8.37 \%$ |
| 6. | Rapport with students- teacher- taught relation. | 86 | $10.75 \%$ |
| 7. | Relation with others in the college. | 88 | $11 \%$ |
| Total |  | $\mathbf{6 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{7 9 \%}$ |



Figure 14. Parameters wise data analysis of Teacher 11
In the above Graph it is inferred that the percentage of the parameter ie. Teaching skills using in the classroom of Teacher 11 is ( $14.87 \%$ ), which is higher than the other parameters such as presentation of content ( $14.37 \%$ ) Relations with others in the college ( $11 \%$ ), Rapport with students- teachers' relation (10.75\%), Classrooms problems ( $8.37 \%$ ) lesson planning ( $9.62 \%$ ) and command and content $(9.87 \%)$. This may be due to the fact that the Teacher 11 may be using different teaching skills in the classroom.

She may be good in presenting her content matter in a better and systematic way. She may be clear in explaining and illustrating the concept. She may be having good questioning skill. The teacher may be giving home work to the students, so that the students and teacher both know better about their level of understanding. Teacher may be giving extra time to the students to help to solve their educational as well as other problems so that there is good rapport established between teacher and students. And due to which the students are having good academic achievement.

## Teacher 12

| S. no | Parameters | Teacher 12 | $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. | Lesson Planning | 82 | $10.25 \%$ |
| 2. | Command and Content | 80 | $10 \%$ |
| 3. | Presentation of content | 125 | $15.62 \%$ |
| 4. | Teaching skills using in the class room | 117 | $14.62 \%$ |
| 5. | Solving classroom problems | 78 | $9.75 \%$ |
| 6. | Rapport with students- teacher- taught relation. | 107 | $13.37 \%$ |
| 7. | Relation with others in the college. | 103 | $12.87 \%$ |
| Total |  | $\mathbf{6 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{8 2 \%}$ |



Figure 13. Parameters wise data analysis of Teacher 12

In the above Graph it is inferred that the percentage of the parameter ie. presentation of content matter of Teacher 12 is ( $15.62 \%$ ) which is higher than the other Parameters such as Teaching skills using in the classroom ( $14.62 \%$ ), Relations with others in the college ( $13 \%$ ), Rapport with students- teachers relation ( $13.37 \%$ ), Classrooms problems ( $9.75 \%$ ) and lesson planning ( $10.25 \%$ ) and command and content ( $10 \%$ ) This may be due to the fact that the Teacher 12 may be good in presenting her content matter in a better way and she may be have more knowledge about the subject matter and clear in her expression. She may be using different teaching skills in her teaching in the class room. Teacher may be using different teaching aids while teaching so that the students may have the better understanding of the subject mater.

## Parameters wise total scores of peer teachers' teaching observation

| Parameters | Parameters wise total scores of peer <br> teachers' teaching observation |
| :--- | :--- |
| Lesson planning | 1040 |
| Command and content | 1033 |
| Presentation of content | 1539 |
| Teaching skills using in the classroom | 1426 |
| Classroom problems | 991 |
| Rapport with students- teacher- taught <br> relation | 1247 |
| Relation with others in the college | 1269 |



Figure 15. shows the parameter wise total scores of peer teachers' teaching observation
Overall analysis of peer teachers' teaching observation in different parameters through graph and table reveal that all the teachers have mastery on the content, mastery on the language, general knowledge and logical analysis and synthesis of content. They are very good in presenting the content matter in a systematic way. They have the ability to manage the class room problems. They have clarity in expression. They try to involve the students in their teaching through discussion method, question- answer method, project method and enquiry method. And due to which there is better understanding of the subject matter and there good academic achievement by the students. The graph also reveals that all the teachers are good in using teaching skills in the classroom. While teaching they may be using appropriate teaching method and technique, using suitable teaching aids, assigning home work to the students and evaluation of student's performance regularly. These may be due many years of teaching experience that the teachers have. Every time they try to impart new knowledge to the students. And due which there is good relationship between teacher and students and this is also one way to get good achievement. And also, the graph reveals there is good rapport established between teacher and students. This is because teachers provide freedom to student's initiation, they have sympathetic behavior with students and they provide guidance for students' problem. Overall study of the peer teachers' teaching observation reveals that all the teachers are sincere in their teaching. They make efforts to impart full knowledge to the students using different method and technique. Teachers update themselves with general knowledge so that the class teaching becomes more active and effective.

## Conclusion

A teacher is a highly valued personality in a society. It is a qualitative teacher who brings qualitative changes in the life of students who could in turn becomes the effective instruments in building up the nation. The success of the students depends on the teacher. The way she teaches and interacts with the students, shape the life of the students. Adopting this evaluation technique teachers are being motivated to change their teaching behavior and strategies for the good academic achievement of the students. Our institution has adopted this method in order to enhance the quality of teaching and modify the teachers' teaching behavior.

